•

ARTZ & ARTZ P.C. Law Offices

- Intellectual Property and Technology Reinted Causes

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER AUG 1 2 2005

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

(248) 223-9522 Fax: Phone: (248) 223-9500

Center Christ	Stop Amendment, Tech r 3683, Examiner topher P. Schwartz O 273-8300
	· - 프로프로드로뉴브로 - 트로블로션부터와 #무워티드 티 - 트로션트로 # 2 # 4 # 보드 트로드로드로 프로드웨
From:	Kevin G. Micrzwa
Date:	August 12, 2005
Our File No.	81095828 (FGT 1910 FA)
Your Ref. No.: Comments:	10/708,677 Attached is Appeal Brief pursuant to Notice of Appeal dated 6/13/05.
Total Pages (:	nul. Cover sheet):11

The information contained in this tarsimile message may be confidential and/or legally privileged information intended unly for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this mossage is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any conving, dissemination or distribution of confidential or privileged information is strictly prohibited. We will arrange for the return of the factimale Thank you.

If there are any problems during transmission, please call: (248) 223-9500.

Donna Kraft (Operator)

28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250, SOUTHPIBLD, MICHIGAN 48034 TRIBUTIONE: (248) 323-9500 -- FACSIMILE: (248) 223-9522

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of:

Serial Number:

Timothy G. Offerle

Group Art Unit: 3683

10/708,677

Examiner: Schwartz, Christopher P.

03/18/2004

For:

Filed:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PREDICTING THE POSITION OF

A FRAILER RELATIVE TO A VEHICLE

Attorney Docket No:

81095828 (FGT 1910 PA)

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being transmitted by

(acsimile to (571) 273-8300 (Contralized Fausimile Number).

Date: _ 8/12/1

Kevin G. Mierzwa

BRIEF ON APPEAL

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir.

The following Appeal Brief is submitted pursuant to the Notice of Appeal (lated June 13,

2005.

Real Party in Interest

The real party in Interest in this matter is Ford Global Technologies, LLC, which 1. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Ford Motor Company both in Dearborn, Michigan (hereinatter "Ford").

Related Appeals and Interferences 11.

There are no other known appeals or interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

Status of the Claims **H**1.

Claims 1-30 stand rejected in the Final Office Action. A copy of the claims on appeal is attached as an Appendix.

Status of Amendments IV.

There have been no amendments filed subsequent to the final rejection.

Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter ٧.

Claims 1, 12 and 21 are independent claims. Each of these independent claims has a common theme. Referring specifically to Claim 1, a method is set furth in which a sensor sensing a current position of the trailer relative to the vehicle is provided as the first stop. Determining a steering wheel angle and determining a predicted position of the trailer based upon the current position and the steering wheel angle is set forth. Also, displaying within the vehicle the current position and the predicted position of the trailer relative to the vehicle is set forth. Claims 19 and 20 as well as paragraphs 120 and 121 (of the second substitute specification) set forth the description of the Invention. It should be noted that Fig. 20 illustrates one example of a display. The initial position is illustrated with future positions x_1 , x_2 and x_3 . As recited in Claim 1, only one future or predicted position is illustrated. It should also be noted that a predicted position is a position other than the current position. That is, it is a predicted future position.

Claim 12 is similar to Claim 1 in many respects except the additional steps of generating a reverse direction signal corresponding to a reverse direction of the vehicle. Thus, Claim 12 is specifically directed to predicting the display during a reverse direction of the vehiclo.

Claim 21 is a system claim similar to that set forth in Claim 12. The position sensor such as hitch sensor 174 is illustrated in Figure 4. The means to generate a reverse (10/708,677)

signal may comprise many means including the transmission 148 or the wheel speed sensors 130, 132 of Figure 4. A steering wheel angle sensor is set forth as element 38 of Figure 3. The controller is illustrated as element 26 of Figure 3. The display is illustrated as reference numeral 58 in Figure 20. The dependent claims stand or fall together with their independent claims.

VI. Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The following Issues are presented in this appeal:

Whether Claims 1-4, 11-27, 29, and 30 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over *Deng* (6,292,094) or *Gerum* (5,747,683) in view of *Mizusawa* (US2002/0145663).

Whether Claims 5-10 and 18 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Gerum or Deng in view of Mizusawa and In further view of Hrazdera (6,704,637).

Whether Claim 28 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Dang or Gerum in further view of Yoshloka (5,461,357).

VII, Argument

The Rejection of Claims 1-4, 11-27, 29, and 30

Claim 1

Both the Deng reference and the Gerum reference are believed to provide only a current position of the vehicle and trailer. The Examiner points to Cols. 2 and 3 of the Deng reference for a trailer system that senses a current position (or hitch angle) of a trailer relative to a vehicle and determines and uses a steering wheel angle to determine a predicted position of the trailer position based on the current position thereof and the steering wheel angle. From Cols. 2 and 3 it is clear that the Deng reference looks at a maximum permissible hitch angle. This is set forth in Col. 2, lines 42-63. This passage describes the hitch angle reported to the controller by the respective sensors. The controller system then performs calculations to determine whether the current hitch angle exceeds a maximum permissible hitch angle that can be overcome while continuing to back up utilizing the tull capability of the front and rear steering. Based upon a hitch angle threshold, the vehicle is controlled. Appellants respectfully submit that only a threshold is described and not a current position and a future position based upon a current position and the steering wheel angle.

The Gerum reference sets forth a drive stability enhancement of multi-unit vehicles. The Examiner points to Claims 1-7 of the Gerum reference for determining a predicted position of the trailer based upon the current position and the steering wheel angle. Appellants respectfully believe that the Gerum reference also only sets forth a current position based upon modeling as set forth in the claims. The model uses the hitch angle, the hitch angle rate, and measured signals from sensors on the towing vehicle. Based upon the model, a control scheme may be implemented. As claim 5 recites, possible jackknifing of the combined vehicle may be detected. Appellants can find no teaching or suggestion that a predicted or future position of the trailer based upon the current position and the steering wheel angle is set forth.

The Mizusawa reference is set forth for teaching a camera and a display. Claim 1 of the present application recites displaying within the vehicle the current position and predicted position of the trailer relative to the vehicle. Thus, both the current and predicted positions are set forth on the display. The Mizusawa reference describes a system for hitch location and not a future and current position of a trailer. Appellants can find no teaching or suggestion in the reference for a predicted position. Appellants therefore respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider the reference since a predicted position is not taught or suggested in either of the three references. It would also not be evident to those skilled in the art that a modification of either of the three references would produce a predicted position. Each of the references are set forth in determining a present position of the vehicle.

Claims 2-4 and 11

Claims 2-4 and 11 are further limitations of Claim 1 and should also be allowable for the same reasons set forth above.

Claim 12

Claim 12 is similar to Claim 1 in that a predicted position of the trailer based upon the current position and steering wheel angle is set forth. Claim 12 is believed to be allowable for the same reasons set forth above.

Claims 13-20

Claims 13-20 are further limitations of Claim 12 and are believed to be allowable for the same reasons set forth above.

Claim 21

Claim 21 is a system for a vehicle coupled to a trailer that includes a position sensor, a display and a controller coupled to the trailer position signal display. A controller displays a predicted path of the trailer in response to the position signal. As mentioned above, no predicted path is taught or suggested in either of the three references. Appellants therefore respectfully request the Board to reconsider the rejection of Claim 21.

Claims 22-27, 29 and 30

Claims 22-27, 29, and 30 are further limitations on Claim 1 and are believed to be allowable for the same reasons set forth above.

The Rejection of Claims 5-10 and 18

Claims 5-10 and 18

The Gerum, Deng and Mizusawa references fail to teach or suggest the predicting positions. Claims 5-10 and 18 are further limitations of their independent claims. Appellants have reviewed Col. 4 of the Hrazdera reference and can find no teaching or suggestion for a predicted path. The Hrazdera reference is set forth for teaching brake steering. However, this reference also does not teach or suggest the use of brake steering and predicting a path for a trailer. Appellants therefore respectfully request the Board to reverse the Examiner's position for this rejection as well.

The Rejection of Claim 28

Claim 28

The Yoshioka reference also does not teach or suggest detecting a predicted path. Appellants therefore respectfully request the Examiner for reconsideration of this rejection as well.

Claims Appendix VIII.

A copy of each of the claims involved in this appeal, namely claims 1-35 is attached hereto as Appendix.

Evidence Appendix IX.

None.

Related Proceedings X.

None.

Conclusion XI.

For the foregoing reasons, Appellant respectfully requests that the Board direct the Examiner in charge of this examination to withdraw the rejections.

Please charge any fees required in the filing of this appeal to deposit account 06-1510 or, if there are insufficient funds, to use deposit account 06-1505.

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin G. Mijerzwa

Registration No. 38,049 Attorney for Appellant

Artz & Artz, P.C. 28333 Telegraph Road, Suite 250 Southfield, Michigan 48034 (248) 223-9500

APPENDIX

- A method for use in a vehicle comprising;
 sensing a current position of a trailer relative to the vehicle;
 determining a vehicle steering wheel angle;
 determining a predicted position of the trailer based on the current.
- determining a predicted position of the trailer based on the current position and the steering wheel angle; and

displaying within the vehicle the current position and the predicted position of the trailer relative to the vehicle.

- 2. A method as recited in claim 1 wherein sensing a current position comprises sensing the current position in response to a camera.
- 3. A method as recited in claim 1 wherein sensing a current position comprises sensing the current position in response to a reverse aid system.
- 4. A method as recited in claim 1 wherein sensing a current position comprises sensing the current position in response to a hitch sensor.
- 5. A method as recited in claim 1 further comprising applying brake-steer to the trailer to reduce the turning radius of the trailer and vehicle.
- 6. A method as recited in claim 1 further comprising applying brake-steer to the trailer and vehicle to reduce the turning radius of the trailer and vehicle.
- 7. A method as recited in claim 1 further comprising applying brake-steer to the vehicle to reduce the turning radius of the trailer and vehicle.
- 8. A method as recited in claim 7 wherein applying brake-steer comprises applying at least one brake at a first wheel to reduce a vehicle turning radius.
- 9. A method as recited in claim 7 wherein applying brake-steer comprises applying an increased drive torque to a second wheel relative to a first wheel.

- 10. A method as recited in claim 7 applying brake-steer comprises increasing a normal load on the vehicle.
- 11. A method as recited in claim 1 wherein determining a predicted position comprises determining a vehicle trailer interference and displaying the interference.
- 12. A method of controlling a vehicle having a trailer comprising:
 generating a reverse direction signal corresponding to a reverse direction of the vehicle;

sensing a current position of a trailer relative to the vehicle;

determining a vehicle steering wheel angle;

determining a predicted position of the trailer based on the current position of the trailer and the steering wheel angle; and

displaying the current position and the predicted position within the vehicle in response to the reverse direction.

- 13. A method as recited in claim 12 wherein sensing a current position comprises sensing 10 a current position in response to a camera.
- 14. A method as recited in claim 12 wherein sensing a current position comprises sensing a current position in response to a reverse aid system.
- 15. A method as recited in claim 12 wherein sensing a current position comprises sensing a current position in response to a hitch sensor.
- 16. A method as recited in claim 12 wherein generating a reverse direction signal comprises generating a reverse direction from a shift lever.
- 17. A method as recited in claim 12 wherein generating a reverse direction signal comprises generating a reverse direction from a push [[25]] button.
- 18. A method as recited in claim 12 wherein generating a reverse direction signal comprises generating a reverse direction from a transmission controller.

- 19. A method as recited in claim 12 wherein generating a reverse direction signal comprises generating a reverse direction from a wheel speed sensor.
- 20. A method as recited in claim 12 wherein generating a vehicle steering angle comprises generating a steering angle in response to a steering angle sensor.
 - 21. A system for a vehicle coupled to a trailer comprising:

a position sensor generating a trailer position signal corresponding to a trailer position;

means to generate a reverse direction signal corresponding to a reverse direction of the vehicle;

a display;

a steering wheel angle sensor; and

a controller coupled to the trailer position signal display, and steering wheel angle sensor, said controller displaying a predicted path of the trailer in response to the position signal.

- 22. A system as recited in claim 21 wherein means to generate a reverse direction signal comprises a shift lever.
- 23. A system as recited in claim 21 wherein means to generate a reverse direction signal comprises a push button.
- 24. A system as recited in claim 21 wherein means to generate a reverse direction signal comprises a transmission controller.
- 25. A system as recited in claim 21 wherein means to generate a reverse direction signal comprises a wheel speed sensor.
- 26. A system as recited in claim 21 wherein the position sensor comprises a hitch sensor.

- 27. A system as recited in claim 21 wherein the position sensor comprises a reverse aid sensor.
- 28. A system as recited in claim 21 wherein the reverse aid sensor comprises an ultrasonic sensor.
- 29. A system as recited in claim 21 wherein the position sensor comprises a camera.
- 30. A system as recited in claim 21 further comprising an input device coupled to said controller.